

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,387	04/11/2002	Moshe Brody	4075/OK306	3272
7590 05/08/2007 Patent Department			EXAM	INER
Macrovision Corporation			DAVIS, ZACHARY A	
2830 De La Cruze Boulevard Santa Clara, CA 95050			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/069,387	BRODY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Zachary A. Davis	2137	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>21 February 2007</u> is considere requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment item(s) is required.	d non-compliant because it has failed to meet the document to be compliant, correction of the following
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDM  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other	
2. Abstract:     A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72     B. Other	2.
<ul> <li>☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the to "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121</li> <li>☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing cornshowing amended figures, without markings, in</li> <li>☐ C. Other</li> </ul>	(d). rection has been eliminated. Replacement drawings
of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the st	all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) er status identifier, and as such, the individual status atus of every claim must be indicated after its claim ntifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), Vithdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed	
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 C	FR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant ar filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-centire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	nendment is an after-final amendment or an amendmer compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the
2. Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the follow (including a submission for a request for continued examination amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1. Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	ving: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment on (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental 103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) of amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle	
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:  Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant ar filed in response to a Quayle action; or  Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amer amendment.	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Telephone No.  Part of Paper No. 20070502
U.S. Patent and Trademark Utilice	rait of Laper No. 20070302

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The amendments to Claims 7 and 9 do not properly reflect all of the changes made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims as required by 37 CFR 1.121(c)(2). Specifically, in Claim 7, changes to the punctuation and text have not been clearly indicated using underlining, strikethrough, or double brackets (as appropriate). Further, Claim 7 also includes text that is both struck through and underlined, making it unclear as to what language is to remain in the claim. Additionally, in Claim 9, added text is not properly indicated with underlining.